	Case 2:21-cv-02033-TLN-DB Documer	nt 31	Filed 03/07/24	Page 1 of 3
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
8				
9	EVERARDO GARZA, JR., on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,	N	Io. 1:20-cv-01354	-TLN-DB
10	Plaintiff,			
11	V.	R	RELATED CASE	ORDER
12 13	WINCO HOLDINGS, INC., <i>dba</i> WINCO FOODS, an Idaho Corporation,			
14	Defendant.			
15	HUGO GOMEZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,	N	Jo. 2:23-CV-02024	4-TLN-DB
16	Plaintiff,			
17	v.			
18 19	WINCO HOLDINGS, INC., an Idaho Corporation,			
20	Defendant.			
21		N	Jo. 2:24-cv-00380-	-TLN-DB
22	HUGO GOMEZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,			
23	Plaintiff,			
24	v.			
25	WINCO HOLDINGS, INC., an Idaho Corporation,			
26	Defendant.			
27	Detelluant.			
28]		
		1		

	Case 2:21-cv-02033-TLN-DB Documer	at 31 Filed 03/07/24 Page 2 of 3
1 2 3	ESMERALDA CASTANON, and JOHN DURON, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	No. 2:20-cv-01656-MCE-JDP
4	Plaintiffs, v.	
5 6	WINCO HOLDINGS, INC., <i>dba</i> WINCO FOODS, an Idaho Corporation,	
7	Defendant.	
8 9	GENEVA PUTMAN, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,	No. 2:21-cv-01760-MCE-JDP
10	Plaintiff,	
11	v.	
12 13	WINCO HOLDINGS, INC., an Idaho Corporation,	
14	Defendant.	No. 2:21-cv-02033-MCE-JDP
15	SOMRET PHOUNG, an individual, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,	
16		
17	Plaintiff,	
18	V.	
19	WINCO HOLDINGS, INC., an Idaho Corporation,	
2021	Defendant.	
22	Examination of the above-captioned a	ctions reveals they are related within the meaning of
	T 15 1 100() FI	1 1 1 1 1 1 00

Examination of the above-captioned actions reveals they are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a). The assignment of these matters to the same judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is likely to be convenient for the parties.

Relating the cases under Local Rule 123, however, merely has the result that both actions are assigned to the same judge, it does not consolidate the actions. Under the regular practice of this Court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned. Should either party wish to consolidate the actions, the

Case 2:21-cv-02033-TLN-DB Document 31 Filed 03/07/24 Page 3 of 3 appropriate motion or stipulation must be filed. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the actions denominated 2:20-cv-01656-MCE-JDP, 2:21-cv-01760-MCE-JDP and 2:21-cv-02033-MCE-JDP are reassigned to District Judge Troy L. Nunley and Magistrate Judge Deborah Barnes, and the captions shall read 2:20-cv-01656-TLN-DB, 2:21-cv-01760-TLN-DB, and 2:21-cv-02033-TLN-DB. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: March 7, 2024 Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge